



# WORKING PAPERS IN RESPONSIBLE BANKING & FINANCE

Finance-Growth Nexus and Dual-Banking Systems: Relative Importance of Islamic Banks

By Pejman Abedifar, Iftekhar Hasan and Amine Tarazi

**Abstract:** This paper investigates the relative importance of Islamic banks, alongside their conventional counterparts, impacting the banking and financial development and economic welfare. Using a sample of 22 Muslim countries, with dual-banking systems, during the 1999-2011, this paper reports some significant positive relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and the development financial intermediation. ofdeepening and economic welfare, particularly in low income or predominantly Muslim countries, and countries with comparatively higher uncertainty avoidance Additionally, the results reveal that, in general, a greater market share of Islamic banks is associated with higher efficiency of conventional banks.

WP Nº 15-013

 $4^{th}$  Quarter 2015

**Finance-Growth Nexus and Dual-Banking Systems: Relative Importance of Islamic Banks** 

Pejman Abedifar <sup>a</sup>, Iftekhar Hasan <sup>b,c</sup>, Amine Tarazi <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Management, University of St Andrews, The Gateway, North Haugh, St. Andrews, Fife, KY16 9RJ, UK <sup>b</sup>Fordham University,5 Columbus Circle, 11th Floor, New York, NY 10019

<sup>c</sup>Bank of Finland, FI 00101, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>d</sup>Université de Limoges, LAPE, 5 rue Félix Eboué, 87031 Limoges, France

**This Draft: May 22, 2015** 

**Abstract.** This paper investigates the relative importance of Islamic banks, alongside their

conventional counterparts, impacting the banking and financial development and economic

welfare. Using a sample of 22 Muslim countries, with dual-banking systems, during the

1999–2011, this paper reports some significant positive relationship between the market share

of Islamic banks and the development of financial intermediation, financial deepening and

economic welfare, particularly in low income or predominantly Muslim countries, and

countries with comparatively higher uncertainty avoidance index. Additionally, the results

reveal that, in general, a greater market share of Islamic banks is associated with

higherefficiency of conventional banks.

JELClassifications:G21

Keywords: Banking System Structure, Financial Development, Finance-Growth Nexus, Islamic

Banking.

1

### 1. Introduction

Financial systems arise to mitigate information and transaction costs. The core functions of financial markets and institutions are to facilitate savings mobilization, informationacquisition and processing, efficient allocation of funds to the most promising projects and exert corporate governance (Levine, 1997). Hence a well-functioning financial market and efficient financial intermediation can spur capital productivity and foster economic growth (Rousseau and Sylla, 1999; Beck, et al., 2000 and Christopoulos and Tsionas, 2004; Levine, 2005 among others).

The extant literature also discusses the importance of different structures that financial systems can take (e.g. Levine et al., 2000; Beck and Levine, 2004; Merton and Bodie, 2004 and Berger et al., 2004). Even in bank-based systems as opposed to market-based systems differences in banking industry structures can differently impact the economy as a whole. For instance, Berger et al. (2004) study the role of community banks in the economic growth of 49 countries between 1993 and 2000. Their findings suggest that financial systems in which small, private, domestically-owned banks have larger market shares and higher efficiency can better boost economic growth. In this paper we study whether the coexistence of Islamic and conventional banking contributes to financial development and economic welfare.

During recent decades, Islamic finance has evolved and grown rapidly in many Muslim countries. According to The Banker (2013), Islamic finance is growing at the rate of 15% to 20% per annum. Globally, Islamic banking assets in commercial banks are set to exceed \$1.7 trillion in 2013 (Ernst & Young, 2013-2014).

Alongside the growth of Islamic banking, the empirical work on this topic has grown accordingly. The extant literature analyzes various features of Islamic banking and finance: investment financing (Aggarwal and Yousef, 2000), securitization (Jobst, 2007), mortgage

loans (Ebrahim, 2009), stability (Čihák and Hesse, 2010), relationship banking (Ongena and Şendeniz-Yüncü, 2011), business model (Beck et al., 2013), risk (Abedifar, et al., 2013), efficiency (Johnes et al., 2014; Saeed and Izzeldin, 2014), loan default rates (Baele et al., 2014), mutual funds (Abdelsalam et al., 2014) and valuation (Elnahass, et al., 2014)<sup>1</sup>.

However, there are few studies on the role and relative importance of Islamic banking in the transformation of the banking system and the finance sector of the respective countries. Using a sample of 20 countries for the 2000-2005 period, Gheeraert (2014) shows that development of Islamic banking in Muslim countries boosts banking sector development. Using a similar sample, Gheeraert and Weill (2014), claim that the development of Islamic banking improves macroeconomic efficiency. The recent development of Islamic banking has changed the financial structure of many countries by introducing a dual financial system in which both Islamic and conventional financial institutions operate. This paper attempts to fill the void by investigating the characteristics of Islamic banking from the finance-growth perspective.

Islamic banks offer financial products and services that are compatible with Islamic doctrine and hence convince Muslim individuals and firms with religious concerns to have access to finance or move from an informal to a formal financial system. Hence, introduction of Islamic banks to banking systems can mitigate financial exclusion and help provision of financial services to a wider proportion of population, especially in predominantly Muslim countries. This can also be a better strategy for poverty alleviation than focusing on the poor from the political economy perspective; and yet would be beneficial for the poor as they can benefit from the strengthened links between formal and informal finance sectors (Rajan, 2006).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For a review of the literature please refer to Abedifar et al. (2015).

Changes in market structure may affect banks' performance. In a dual-banking system, Islamic and conventional banks do not merely play a supplementary role to one another, they compete with each other for clients and investors. Whether and how conventional banks are affected by the presence of Islamic banks is an important issue from the policy-making perspective and we attempt to examine how efficiency of conventional banks is affected when they operate alongside Islamic banks.

For our study, we focus on the commercial banking industries in 22 Muslim countries with dual-banking systems during the 1999–2011 period. Overall, we find that the effect of having a dual-banking system (where Islamic banks operate alongside their conventional counterparts) on financial development, economic growth and poverty alleviation considerably depends on the institutional environment that is examined in our study from three aspects: religion, wealth and culture. For instance, the results show that higher market share of medium-size Islamic banks is associated with greater funding mobilization and credit allocation, economic growth and poverty alleviation in countries with relatively greater proportions of Muslims in their populations (predominantly Muslim countries), countries with comparatively higher uncertainty avoidance index or countries with rather lower GDP per capita. Our bank-level analyses reveal a positive relationship between the presence of large Islamic banks and efficiency of conventional banks in predominantly Muslim countries; in more religiously diverse Muslim countries, however, the efficiency of conventional banks is positively linked to the market share of conventional banks with Islamic window/branches.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the research motivation and econometric specifications, section 3 describes our sample of observations, and section 4 discusses the results. Finally, section 5 concludes.

### 2. Research Motivation and Econometric Specifications

### 2.1. FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION AND ECONOMIC WELFARE

The presence of Islamic financial institutions and their conventional counterparts increases the number of participants and financial products, which may deepen the financial system and improve the efficiency of the whole financial sector. We study the relationship between the coexistence of Islamic banks and conventional banks in a country and financial development in terms of savings mobilization and funds allocation. We also explore the link between presence of Islamic banks and economic growth, income inequality, and poverty alleviation.

### 2.1.a. FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION

Many Muslims do not use traditional financial products and services, because they believe that doing so conflicts with their religious beliefs. They may use financial services provided by the informal market.<sup>2</sup> A number of surveys highlight that a considerable proportion of Muslims prefer Sharia-compliant financial products and services. In Algeria, for instance, a study shows that around 20.7% of micro-enterprise owners do not apply for loans primarily due to religious concerns (Frankfurt School of Finance and Management, 2006). In Indonesia, around 49% of the rural population of East Java prefers Islamic finance and believes that interest is prohibited (C.G.A.P., 2008). Honohan (2008) finds that in Islamic Development Bank's member countries (i.e., all OIC<sup>3</sup>-member countries except Guyana) only 28 percent of the adult population, including Muslims and non-Muslims, uses formal or semi-formal financial products and services for deposit and borrowing activities.

Given the relatively low access to financial institutions and products in most Muslim countries (e.g. Honohan, 2008), there is considerable potential for Islamic finance, because it can contribute to financial-intermediation development by moving lenders and borrowers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Often known as the curb market.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Organization of Islamic Conference; please refer to http://www.oic-oci.org/oicv2/home/?lan=en

from the informal to the formal markets.<sup>4</sup> Hence, Islamic banks are expected to play a complementary role to conventional banks in intermediating financial resources.

Islamic and conventional banking may also substitute for each other in a dual-banking system, because some Muslims may be less sensitive to religious issues. As such, Islamic banks can absorb lenders and borrowers from conventional financial institutions who have chosen conventional finance in the absence of Islamic finance.

We explore whether the presence of Islamic banks can bolster financial intermediation. As such, first, we investigate how the deposits in the banking industry and financial system as a whole are affected by the presence of Islamic banks, and then we examine the relationship between the volume of private credit and the market share of Islamic banks.

### 2.1.b. Economic Growth

Islamic banks may boost or hinder economic growth compared to their conventional counterparts. On the one hand, existing studies show that religious individuals are more risk-averse than other agents (Miller and Hoffmann, 1995; Osoba, 2003; Hilary and Hui, 2009). Similarly, Islamic financial institutions might be more risk-averse than their conventional counterparts. As such, they might limit entrepreneurship by encouraging borrowers to select low-risk projects or invest excessively in tangible assets. On the other hand, Islamic financiers prefer to allocate funds to the real economy, and they are not authorized to allocate financial resources to speculative activities. Whether the presence of Islamic banks can affect economic growth is thus an empirical question that we attempt to address in this study.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some economists believe that curb markets are more efficient in savings and investment intermediation (van Wijnbergen, 1983; Taylor, 1983; Buffie, 1984); however, Fry (1988) argues that informal markets are not necessarily as efficient as formal markets. Chandavarkar (1992) claims that curb markets are unorganized and do not play a substantial role in financial-resource intermediation to boost economic growth. The main problem for examining the potential contribution of informal markets to economic growth is the lack of data.

### 2.1.c. INCOME INEQUALITY and POVERTY ALLEVIATION

A profit-maximization strategy encourages financial institutions to establish branches and networks in large cities or capital-oriented areas rather than in areas with low population density or low income. As such, the poor have relatively limited access to financial products and services such as deposits and loans. Additionally, some poor Muslims prefer to bank with *Sharia*-compliant financial institutions. The lack of access to finance can exacerbate poverty (Ayyagari et al, 2008). The impact of access to finance on the poor which is explained by the microfinance literature (see Armendariz de Aghion and Morduch, 2005 among others) might encourage governments to promote provision of financial services in rural and low income areas. The government of India, for instance, adopted a policy, during 1977-1990, to support opening bank branches in district without bank<sup>5</sup>; the policy accounted for 60% reduction in rural poverty (Burgess and Pande, 2005).

Nevertheless, Rajan (2006) points out that it is better to focus on finance access for all people rather than focusing on the poor. This is more practical from the political economy perspective<sup>6</sup> and a number of empirical studies show that the poor would also benefit from this policy. Honohan (2004) finds a negative relationship between financial development and headcount ratio. Beck et al. (2007) claim that not only financial deepening has a positive effect on economic growth, but it also mitigates income inequality and reduces poverty.

Islam, like other Abrahamic religions (Judaism and Christianity), encourages lending provided that it is free of interest. The motivation for the strong prohibition of interest is to prevent the oppression of the poor. Hence, Islamic finance may lessen income inequality and alleviate poverty, because Islamic financial institutions may incorporate poverty alleviation in their business strategies alongside profit maximization. They are expected to have more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The government allowed establishment of a new branch in districts that had already benefited from bank presence provided that the bank opened four branches in areas without bank presence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>For instance, microfinance is well practiced only in a few countries such as Bangladesh, Indonesia and Thailand (Honohan, 2004).

incentives to offer financial products and services to poor clients. We therefore expect to find that Islamic financiers contribute more to social and development activities than their conventional counterparts. Moreover, they may prefer to finance projects that are more beneficial to low-income people.

Alternatively, Islamic financial institutions may offer *Sharia*-compliant financial products and services as part of a marketing strategy to attract clients that are relatively highly religious. They would hence also follow a profit-maximization approach similar to conventional financial institutions<sup>7</sup>, and therefore there should be few differences between Islamic and conventional products apart from the label assigned to them. In turn, Islamic financial institutions may not be more effective in reducing poverty than conventional financial institutions. As such, it is important to investigate whether Islamic financial institutions have any direct impact on income inequality and poverty.

### 2.1.d. ECONOMETRIC SPECIFICATIONS

We adopt the following panel specification for our analyses. To control for individual unobservable heterogeneity across countries, we use the fixed-effect technique in our estimation and cluster standard errors at the country level.

```
\begin{split} Y_{j,t} &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_{11} \times Small\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{12} \times Medium\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{13} \times Large\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{21} \times Small\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{22} \times Medium\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{23} \times Large\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{3} \times Foreign\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{4} \times State\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{5} \times Inflation_{j,t} + \alpha_{6} \times Per\_Capita_{j,t} + \alpha_{7} \times Remittance\_Inflow_{j,t} + \alpha_{8} \times Trend_{t} + \epsilon_{j,t} \end{split}
```

Where *j* subscript denotes individual countries and *t* denotes the time dimension.

 $Y_{j,t}$  is our dependent variable. We use the following three proxies for our financial-intermediation study: total deposits of commercial banks to gross domestic product (*GDP*)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We follow existing literature (see e.g. Aggarwal and Yousef, 2000 Chong and Liu, 2009; Beck et al, 2013 and Abedifar et al, 2013) and assume that Islamic commercial banks follow a profit maximization strategy similar to conventional commercial banks within the constraints imposed by Sharia, e.g. prohibition of interest-based financial activities.

ratio (*Bank Deposit*), total deposits in the financial system to GDP ratio (*Financial System Deposit*) representing the volume of deposits mobilization; and the ratio of private credit to GDP (*Private Credit*) as a proxy for credit allocation.

For our economic growth analysis, we employ the annual growth rate of GDP per capita, calculated using the purchasing power parity (PPP) method  $(Economic\ Growth)$  as the dependent variable  $(Y_{i,t})$ .

We explore income inequality and poverty alleviation using five proxies: the Gini index (*Gini*), the share of people with less than \$1.25 a day based on PPP in the total population (*Poverty Ratio International*), the percentage of people below the national poverty line (*Poverty Ratio National*), the intensity of poverty among people who live on less than \$1.25 a day (*Poverty Gap International*), and the percentage of people who live below the rural poverty line (*Poverty Ratio Rural*).

ISB Share represents the assets of commercial Islamic banks as a percentage of the total assets of the whole commercial banking industry, which is our variable of interest. Islamic banking can enter the financial system in two ways: Establishment of pure Islamic banks or launching Islamic branches/window by existing conventional banks. The first way increases both the size of the financial sector and the number of financial institutions, yielding higher market competition; however, the second way increases the size of the financial system without any increase in the number of participants, resulting in higher market power. Following Beck et al. (2013), we split Islamic banks into three categories: Small, Medium and Large. We define Small banks are banks with total assets below \$100 million. Medium banks are banks with total assets above \$100 million and below \$1 billion. Large banks are banks with total assets above \$1 billion.

Our variable of interest refers to the first type of introduction. We attempt to control for the second type by including the share of *Small*, *Medium* and *Large* Islamic window banks

(i.e., commercial banks offering both Islamic and conventional banking; *ISW Share*) in the total assets of the aggregate commercial banking sector. The benchmark is then the share of pure commercial conventional banks in the total assets of the banking system, which is omitted to avoid perfect multicollinearity.

We try to capture the heterogeneities associated with the structure of the banking sector in terms of ownership, using the share of total assets of foreign and state-owned banks in total assets (*Foreign Bank Share* and *State Bank Share*). The benchmark is the share of domestic private banks in total assets, which we drop from the model to avoid perfect multicollinearity.

We use fixed-effect technique for our estimation that accounts for individual unobservable heterogeneity; nevertheless, we control for a number of macroeconomic and institutional environment factors such as inflation, remittance inflow, population's wealth, culture and religion that may influence our variables of interest. Inflation is represented in our model by the annual growth rate of the GDP deflator (*Inflation*). Deposits mobilization, funds allocation, economic growth, income inequality, and poverty are indeed influenced by inflation (Rousseau and Wachtel, 2002). We also consider *Remittance Inflow* (as a percentage of GDP) in our model. Overseas remittance and capital inflow has been increased over time due to globalization and may influence our variables of interest. Heterogeneity across countries due to differences in wealth is captured by introducing GDP per capital measured by the PPP approach (*Per Capita*) into our model. Moreover, we split our sample into two groups on the basis of the median value of *Per Capita* to explore the impact of Islamic banking presence in relatively poor countries that need financial and economic development the most.

Religion is controlled for by the proportion of Muslims in the country's population (*Muslim Share*) following Abedifar et al. (2013). These authors find significant variations in the performance of Islamic banks across countries with relatively greater proportions of

Muslims versus other Muslim countries. We expect the presence of Islamic banks to be influential particularly in predominantly Muslim countries. Cultural dimension is captured using the uncertainty avoidance index (*Uncertainty Avoidance*) issued by Hofstede (2001). The introduction of Islamic banks can attract more people to the formal financial sector and hence can better contribute to financial deepening in Muslim countries with relatively higher *Uncertainty Avoidance*. Since religion and culture are time-invariant or barely change over time, we cannot directly use them in our model. We split our sample into two parts based on the median value of *Muslim Share* and *Uncertainty Avoidance* and explore how the market share of Islamic banks is linked to financial development and economic welfare in countries with relative higher *Muslim Share* or *Uncertainty Avoidance*. Finally, we add a trend variable to capture the time trend. Table A1 in the appendix describes the variables of interest, the control variables, and the dependent variable.

### 2.2. EFFICIENCY OF CONVENTIONAL BANKS

Whether and how presence of Islamic banks affects efficiency of conventional banks is also an interesting question which we attempt to address in this paper.

Conventional banks might operate more efficiently in a dual-banking system due to competitive pressure enforced by the presence of Islamic banks. The pressure might be stronger for conventional banks than Islamic banks, because Muslims with religious concerns likely prefer Islamic financial institutions to conventional ones; however, other Muslims may be indifferent to the two systems. As such, the efficiency of conventional banks should improve with an increase in the market share of Islamic banks. However, it can be argued that Islamic banks might be more inefficient as they offer complicated *Sharia*-compliant financial

-

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  We are thankful to anonymous referees for their fruitful comments on our modelling.

products and Muslims have no other choice but to bank with them<sup>9</sup>. Hence, a higher market share of Islamic banks might not significantly impact the efficiency of conventional banks.

To investigate the link between the market share of Islamic banks and the efficiency of conventional banks, we estimate the following panel specification using the fixed-effect technique with standard errors clustered at the bank level. The technique accounts for individual, unobservable heterogeneity across banks.

$$\begin{split} \text{Inefficiency}_{i,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_{11} \times \text{Small\_ISB\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{12} \times \text{Medium\_ISB\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{13} \times \text{Large\_ISB\_Share}_{j,t} + \\ & \beta_{21} \times \text{Small\_ISW\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{22} \times \text{Medium\_ISW\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{23} \times \text{Large\_ISW\_Share}_{j,t} + \\ & \beta_{3} \times \text{HHI}_{j,t} + \beta_{4} \times \text{Domestic\_Interest\_Rate}_{j,t} + \beta_{5} \times \text{Per\_Capita}_{j,t} + \\ & \beta_{6} \times \text{Per\_Capita\_Growth}_{j,t} + \beta_{7} \times \text{Capital}_{i,t} + \beta_{8} \times \text{Size}_{i,t} + \beta_{9} \times \text{Credit Risk}_{i,t} + \\ & \sum_{\mathcal{V}=1}^{12} \beta_{10,\mathcal{V}} \times \text{Year\_Dummies}_{t,\mathcal{V}} + \xi_{i,t} \end{split} \tag{2} \end{split}$$

where the *i*, *t* and *j* subscripts denote individual banks, time dimension, and countries, respectively. *Small*, *Medium* and *Large ISB Share* is our variable of interest, and we study its impact on *Inefficiency* of conventional banks operating in a dual-banking system.

Inefficiency is our dependent variable represented by the ratio of total noninterest expense to total operating income (Inefficiency) in our analysis. We control for macroeconomic factors such as market concentration together with bank-level controls. Because the Hausman test suggests the use of the fixed-effect technique, we do not include ownership dummies in our model. Besides controlling for the market share of Small, Mediumand LargeISW Share, we introduce four country-level variables to capture cross-country variations. We control for the impact of banking-sector concentration by adding the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) to our model. The relationship between market concentration and efficiency is not clear ex ante. On the one hand, in more concentrated markets, banks have less incentive to increase their efficiency (Quite Life Hypothesis proposed by Hicks, 1935); on the other hand, more efficient banks gain larger market shares

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Some studies show that Islamic financial products are more expensive than conventional ones (e.g. Baele et al, 2014). This could be because of higher inefficiency of Islamic banks or extra rents that Islamic banks extract from their clients for offering Sharia-compliant financial products.

that may lead to more market concentration (*Efficient-Structure Hypothesis* proposed by Demsetz, 1973).

We take into account the level of domestic interest rates (*Domestic Interest Rate*) in our analysis. The extant literature shows the influence of domestic interest rates on banks' risk appetite (Dell' Ariccia and Marquez, 2006; Rajan, 2006; Borio and Zhu, 2008; Delis and Kouretas, 2010; Maddaloni and Peydró, 2011; Jiménez et al., 2013). On one hand, banks have a greater risk-taking appetite when interest rates are low; on the other hand, an increase in interest rates can adversely affect borrowers' ability to repay loans (Jarrow and Turnbull, 2000; Carling et al., 2007; Drehmann et al., 2010; and Alessandri and Drehmann, 2010). Finally, we try to capture the possible impact of income level and growth in the prosperity of the population by including GDP per capita (*Per Capita*) and growth in GDP per capita (*Per Capita Growth*).

We also control for bank-level heterogeneities. We include the share of equity capital in total assets (*Capital*) in our model. On one hand, an increase in equity can lower moral-hazard problems and increase banks' monitoring incentives (Berger et al., 1995,). On the other hand, higher equity can increase banks' risk-taking capacity. Equity capital can be considered a risk-aversion proxy (McShane and Sharpe, 1985; Maudos and De Guevara, 2004; Koetter and Noth, 2013) and banks with higher capital ratios expect higher returns. Moreover, Jensen (1986) and Harris and Raviv (1990) discuss the possible impact of capital on inefficiency. They argue that when capital is more expensive than debt (at the margin), management might reduce operating costs to offset the higher costs of the increase in capital required by regulators. Alternatively, lower interest expense may weaken attempts to control operating expenses.

We consider the effect of size in our study by using the logarithm of total assets (*Size*) as the proxy. Larger banks can benefit from scale economies and diversification (Hughes et

al., 2001). They may target riskier activities because they might benefit from safety-net subsidies (Kane, 2010). They might face higher competition pressure because they have larger and more transparent clients with relatively easier access to capital markets. Larger banks may also use different technologies and business models for their operations. As such, bank's inefficiency might different across banks with different size. We also take into account credit risk represented by non-performing loans to gross loans ratio (*Credit Risk*), because a bank, which does not efficiently monitor its loan activities, is unlikely to be very efficient in its operations (Berger and DeYoung, 1997). Finally, we capture year fixed effects using twelve year-dummy variables.<sup>10</sup>

### 3. Data and Descriptive Statistics

### 3.1. DATA

Our empirical analysis is based on country-level and bank-level data for 22 Muslim countries<sup>11</sup> with dual-banking systems during the 1999–2011 period. We collect the data on proportion of Muslims in countries' population from the web-site of Pew Research Centre<sup>12</sup>, *Uncertainty Avoidance* obtained from Hoftstede (2001), theeconomic freedom index is retrieved from the Heritage Foundation and the Wall Street Journal and other country-level data from the World Bank website.

The bank-level data is obtained from the Bankscope database. Bankscope classifies banks as commercial, Islamic, or other, and an Islamic bank might be a commercial or a non-commercial bank. Moreover, some conventional banks have Islamic

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The sample covers 13 years; however, however, we drop one year-dummy to avoid perfect multicolinearity and use twelve year-dummies in our estimations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Among 57 Muslim countries (i.e. OIC-member countries), we find Islamic commercial banking in 24 countries. In the following 22 Muslim countries Islamic and conventional commercial banks operate alongside each other (they have a dual-banking system): Algeria, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Brunei, Egypt, Gambia, Indonesia, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mauritania, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Syria, Tunisia, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, and Yemen. In Iran and Sudan, the commercial banking system is fully Islamic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Please visit http://pewforum.org/Mapping-the-Global-Muslim-Population.aspx

windows/branches/wings (*Islamic Window Bank*), and they therefore cannot be classified as either Islamic or conventional banks. As such, and to ensure data accuracy, we retrieve information on bank type from each bank's website.

### 3.2. DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

We use two subsamples of countries based on the median value of *Muslim Share*. *Muslim Share* ranges from 51.9% in Lebanon to 99.8% in Tunisia. The median value is 95%. Countries below the median value are classified as "*More Religiously Diverse Countries*" and the rest (those in which Muslims are at least 95% of the population) are called "*Predominantly Muslim Countries*", i.e. less religiously diverse countries.

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of our sample for *More Religiously Diverse*Countries and Predominantly Muslim Countries. The figures interestingly show that Islamic banks of different sizes have greater market shares in More Religiously Diverse Countries compared to Predominantly Muslim Countries (18.54%, 25.48% and 21.13% for Small, Mediumand Large Islamic banks in More Religiously Diverse Countries, respectively, versus 10.68%, 20% and 8.20% for Small, Mediumand Large Islamic banks in Predominantly Muslim Countries, respectively). In both groups of countries, the market share of Medium Islamic banks (MediumISB Share) is higher than Smalland Large ISB Share, whereas the market share of LargeIslamic window banks (Large ISW Share) is the highest in both subsamples. Medium and Large ISW Sharesare higher in More Religiously Diverse Countries to Predominantly Muslim Countries. Foreigners have greater ownership of commercial banks in Predominantly Muslim Countries compared to More Religiously Diverse Countries(29.95% versus 19.45%); however, governments in More Religiously Diverse Countries alightly larger stakes in their commercial banking systems (17.23%)

versus 16.16%). The *HHI* index shows that commercial banking industry is less concentrated in this group of countries.

The banking system in *More Religiously Diverse Countries* is more developed than in *Predominantly Muslim Countries.Bank Deposit, Financial System Deposit and Private Credit* are 64.88%, 64.60% and 50.81% of GDP in *More Religiously Diverse Countries*; whereas they are merely 35.19%, 36.96% and 28.09% respectively in *Predominantly Muslim Countries*.

We observe little difference between the two groups of countries in terms of the annual growth rate of *Per Capita*(i.e. *Economic Growth*) (1.65% and 1.81%, respectively). We do not observe a significant difference between the Gini index, *Poverty Ratio International*, and *Poverty Gap International* of *More Religiously Diverse Countries* versus *Predominantly Muslim Countries*. However, the headcount ratio when we consider the national poverty line in lieu of the international poverty line and the poverty in rural areas are higher for the former group of countries

The fourth part of the table reports other country-level indicators. The *Muslim Share* is on average 78.22% and 97.88% across our two sub-samples. *Per Capita* is significantly higher for *More Religiously Diverse Countries* compared to *Predominantly Muslim Countries* (about \$28 thousand versus \$6.67 thousand). The *Uncertainty Avoidance* of the former group of countries is lower than that of the latter group. They also experience a freer economic environment. We do not observe a significant difference between the two sub-samples in terms of *Inflation* (7.5% in *More Religiously Diverse Countries* and about 9% in *Predominantly Muslim Countries*). Both groups of countries experience almost the same level of *Remittance Inflow* (about 6% of GDP). Overall, our descriptive statistics indicate that the two groups of countries have different institutional and economic environments. For instance *More Religiously Diverse Countries* have a more developed finance sector, a higher GDP per

capita, more economic freedom, a lower uncertainty avoidance index and a higher share of Islamic banks in their commercial banking market than *Predominantly Muslim Countries*.

The last part of table 1 describes our bank-level variables for conventional banks operating in our countries of study. We observe that conventional banks in *More Religiously Diverse Countries* are smaller in size, are more cost-efficient, have a lower capital to asset ratio (*Capital*) and *Credit Risk*than those in *Predominantly Muslim Countries*.

### [TABLE 1]

Table A2 in the appendix provides the breakdowns on number and average size of different types of banks across our 22 countries of study. The correlation matrix presented in PANEL A of Table A3 in the appendix shows no major multicollinearity problems among our independent variables. We study the role of Islamic banks in *PredominantlyMuslim Countries*, low income Muslim countries and Muslim countries with comparatively high *Uncertainty Avoidance* on the basis of the median value of *Muslim Share*, *Per Capita* and *Uncertainty Avoidance*, respectively. PANEL B in Table A3 illustrates the pair-wise correlation which does not show a relatively high correlation between these three subsamples of countries<sup>13</sup>.

### 4. Empirical Results

### 4.1. ISLAMIC BANKING IN PREDOMINANTLY MUSLIM COUNTRIES

We explore the relationship between the presence of Islamic banks and financial development and economic welfare for the sample of *Predominantly Muslim Countries*. We estimate the Equation (1) using the fixed-effect technique, and illustrate the results in table 2. The first three columns display our results on financial development analysis (i.e. funding mobilization

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>We also consider economic freedom in our analysis but because the sample of countries below the median value of the Economic Freedom Index provided by the Heritage Foundation is highly correlated (0.81) with the sample of *Low Income Countries* we exclude this dimension per se from our estimations.

and credit allocation). In the first column, we use *Bank Deposit* as the dependent variable and regress it on the market share of *Small, Medium* and *LargeIslamic* banks (*Small, Medium* and *LargeIslamic* banks (*Small, Medium* and *LargeIslamic* banks *Share*) and a set of control variables, including *Small, Medium* and *LargeIslamic Share, Foreign Banks Share, State Banks Share, Inflation, Per Capita, Remittance Inflow* and *Trend*. The results show significantly positive relationship between the market share of *Medium* Islamic banks and *Bank Deposit. Large Islamic* also depicts positive and significant association with the dependent variable.

As expected, *Inflation* exhibits a negative link with *Bank Deposit*. The *Trend* variable appears with a positive coefficient, suggesting that *Bank Deposit* increases over time.

In the second column, we replace *Bank Deposit* with *Financial System Deposit* as the dependent variable. The results are in line with our finding in the first column. The market share of *Medium*Islamic banks is positively linked to the size of funding mobilization at the financial system level. Column (3) presents our analysis for *Private Credit*, representing credit allocation side of our financial development analysis. The results show a positive relationship between *Medium ISB* and *Private Credit*. Overall, the findings show a significantly positive link between the market share of *Medium*Islamic banks and size of financial intermediation in *Predominantly Muslim Countries*.

In columns (4) to (9), we explore the relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and economic welfare (i.e. economic growth, income inequality and poverty alleviation). In column (4), we use the annual growth rate of GDP per capita as a proxy for economic growth (*Economic Growth*) and find little relationship between the presence of Islamic banks in commercial banking market and *Economic Growth*. However, we find a significantly positive association between *Small ISW Share* and *Economic Growth*. The results also show that *Economic Growth* increases with a rise in *Per Capita*. Column (5) presents our income inequality analysis represented by the Gini index (*Gini*). *Medium* 

ISBShare depicts a significantly negative link with Gini. We also observe that an increase in the market share of LargeIslamic Window Banksis associated with a decrease in Gini, whereas Small ISW Share is positively linked to income inequality.

In columns (6) to (9), we examine the relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and our proxies for poverty (*Poverty Ratio International*, *Poverty Ratio National*, *Poverty Gap International* and *Poverty Ratio Rural*). Our analyses show a negative association between *Medium ISB Share* and headcount ratio when the national line is used as the threshold (*Poverty Ratio National*) and also the percentage of poor people in rural area (*Poverty Ratio Rural*).

Overall, we find that an increase in market share of *Medium*Islamic banks in *PredominantlyMuslim Countries* are associated with a higher funding mobilization and credit allocation, but lower income inequality, percentage of population below the national poverty line and headcount ratio in rural area. We carry out similar analysis for *More Religiously Diverse Countries* and find no significant relationship between the presence of Islamic banks in their commercial banking industry and our proxies for financial development and economic welfare (except for the negative link between *Medium ISB Share* and *Private Credit*)<sup>14</sup>.

### [TABLE 2]

As a robustness check, we re-estimate our model using GMM panel estimation technique and find similar results<sup>15</sup>.

### 4.2. ISLAMIC BANKING IN LOW INCOME COUNTRIES

Table 3 illustrates the estimation of Equation (1) using the sample of Low Income

<sup>15</sup>The results are not reported, but are available from the authors upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The results are not reported, but are available from the authors upon request.

Countries (i.e. countries with *Per Capita* below the median value which is \$6,364)<sup>16</sup>. The first three columns refer to our funds mobilization and credit allocation analysis. The results show that *Medium ISB Share* is positively associated with our funds mobilization proxies (i.e. *Bank Deposit* and *Financial System Deposit*). However, we find little link between the presence of Islamic banks and credit allocation represented by *Private Credit*.

In columns (4) to (9), we explore the relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and economic growth, income inequality and poverty. The results show that a higher market share of *Medium size* Islamic banks is associated with a higher *Economic Growth*, a lower percentage of people below the international poverty line (*Poverty Ratio International*), lower poverty intensity (*Poverty Gap International*) and lower headcount ratio in the rural area (*Poverty Ratio Rural*). However, we find almost no link between our proxy for income inequality (i.e. *Gini*) and the market share of Islamic banks.

Overall, we find that the presence of Islamic banks is positively linked with financial sector development, economic growth and poverty alleviation in *Low Income Countries*.

### [TABLE 3]

### 4.3.ISLAMIC BANKING IN HIGH UNCERTAINTY AVOIDANCE COUNTRIES

Table 4 illustrates the estimation of the Equation (1) using the sample of *High Uncertainty Avoidance Countries* (i.e. countries with uncertainty avoidance index above the median value which is 68). We study funds mobilization and credit allocation in the first three columns. *Medium ISB Share* depicts a positive association with *Bank Deposit, Financial System Deposit* and *Private Credit*.

In columns (4) and (5), we find no significant relationship between the presence of Islamic banks and economic growth and our income inequality index. We explore the

20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Since we make sub-samples of countries based on *Per Capita*, we exclude the variable *Per Capita* from our model in this sub-section.

relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and poverty indices in columns (6) to (9). We find a negative link between *Medium ISB Share* and the percentage of population below the national poverty line (*Poverty Ratio National*) and also headcount ratio in the rural area (*Poverty Ratio Rural*).

Overall, we find that the market share of *Medium size* Islamic banks is positively linked with financial sector development. We also find some evidence in support of poverty alleviation particularly in the rural area. As a further robustness check, we exclude Lebanon as it suffered from civil war during our period of study and investigate whether the findings still hold. The results indicate no significant change<sup>17</sup>.

### [TABLE 4]

### 4.4. OTHER ISSUES

### 4.4.a. ISLAMIC BANKING IN HIGH INFLAITON COUNTRIES

It can be argued that Islamic banks might have a different impact when the economy experiences a high inflationary period. Islamic banks might hold more cash reserves, because they have some limitation for investment, e.g. they cannot invest in interest-base bonds (see e.g. Abedifar et al., 2013); moreover, they might use more commodities as collateral, because they tend to finance the real economy and tangible assets. Hence, the link between the presence of Islamic banks and financial development and economic welfare during a relatively high inflationary period is not straightforward: on the one hand, greater cash reserves holding would melt down Islamic banks' assets more than that of their conventional counterparts; on the other hand, widespread use of commodities can be a safe cushion for them against inflation. As such, we estimate our model (Equation (1)) for a sub-sample of countries that experienced a relatively high inflation

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The results are not reported, but are available from the authors upon request.

(on the basis of the median value of inflation in our sample which is 7.09%). The results are shown in table A4 of the appendix. We find no significant relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and financial development; however, we observe a negative link between *Large ISB Share* and *Economic Growth*.

### 4.4.b. ISLAMIC BANKING BEFORE AND AFTER THE GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS

We also investigate whether and how our findings change when we exclude the global financial crisis of 2007-08 from our analysis. We consider two sub-periods for our sample of *Predominantly Muslim Countries*: the period before the global financial crisis of 2007-08 and during the global recession thereafter (2008-2012<sup>18</sup>). The results are presented in Table A5 of the appendix. In columns (1) to (4), we estimate the model (Equation (1)) for the pre-crisis period (i.e. 1999-2007) and the estimations on the recession period (i.e. 2008-2011) are illustrated in columns (5) to (8). The first four columns show a positive link between *Medium ISB Share* and funds mobilization proxies (i.e. *Bank Deposit* and *Financial System Deposit*) as well as *Economic Growth*. The relationship is economically stronger as compared to our findings for the whole period in sub-section 4.1.

In the recession period, however, the results are different. We find that the market share of *Small* Islamic banks is positively associated with funds mobilization and credit allocation, whereas *Large ISB Share* depicts a negative link with our proxies for financial development. The estimations show no significant link between the presence of Islamic banks and economic growth.

### 4.5. EFFICIENCY OF CONVENTIONAL BANKS

We estimate Equation (2) using the ratio of total noninterest expense to total operating

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> A number of countries in our sample also experienced the turmoil caused by the so-called "Arab Spring" in late 2010.

income (*Inefficiency*) as the proxy. We estimate our model for *More Religiously Diverse*Countries and Predominantly Muslim Countries subsamples. Table 5 presents the estimations.

Columns (1) to (4) illustrate the analysis for More Religiously Diverse Countries. In the first column we regressInefficiency on Small, Mediumand Large ISB Share while controlling for Small, Mediumand Large ISW Share. Country-level variables including HHI, Domestic Interest Rate, Per Capita, and Per Capita Growth are added to the model in column (2). In the next step, column (3), we control for Capital, Sizeand Credit Risk of conventional banks. In column (4) we add year-dummies. The results show no significant relationship between the market share of Islamic banks and Inefficiency. However, we find that Medium and Large ISW Share is negatively linked to Inefficiency which suggests in countries with relatively lower Muslim share in their population, conventional banks might be more influenced by Islamic Window Banks. We also find that an increase in Per Capita is associated with a decline in Inefficiency; however, HHI depicts no relationship with the dependent variable and hence does not support either the Quite-Life or Efficient-Structure hypotheses.

In columns (5) to (8) we estimate our model for *Predominantly Muslim Countries* with the same specifications of columns (1) to (4). We find that *Large ISB Share* is negatively linked to *Inefficiency*. The negative coefficient of *Medium ISB Share*turns into insignificant when we add our bank-level control variables in column (8). Contrary to our finding for *More Religiously Diverse Countries*, *Islamic Window Banks* depict no significant relationship with the dependent variable. Finally, we observe that banks with more *Credit Risk* are less efficient.

### [TABLE 5]

### **5. Summary and Conclusion**

In this paper, we investigate whether the presence of Islamic commercial banks and their conventional counterparts in the same market can foster the development of the overall commercial banking sector and economic welfare. Moreover, we explore the possible implications for conventional banks operating in a dual-banking system.

During recent decades, Islamic banking has grown quickly in many Muslim countries. As such, a dual-banking system has emerged in which both Islamic and conventional banks share the market. Islamic financial institutions offer *Sharia*-compatible financial products and services, and this suggests that there is a considerable potential to lessen financial exclusion by reaching out to Muslims who avoid conventional borrowing and lending instruments or prefer Islamic banking.

Islamic banks behave differently from their conventional counterparts in several ways. Specifically, they are not authorized to get involved in speculative activities. They must act as agents of their investment accountholders by allocating their savings to profitable projects. They might be more risk-averse and have stronger preference for investing in the real economy than conventional banks. Moreover, the coexistence of Islamic and conventional banking could increase the efficiency of the whole banking system by improving competition.

We study 22 Muslim countries with a dual-banking system during the 1999–2011 period. Due to considerable heterogeneity among the countries under study, we split them into two subsamples based on the share of the Muslims in population, population's wealth and culture.

We find that market share of medium-size Islamic banks in predominantly Muslim countries is positively linked with funds mobilization and private credit allocation, while it is negatively linked with income inequality, percentage of population below the national poverty line and headcount ratio in the rural area. The analysis of relatively low income

countries shows that the presence of medium-size Islamic banks is associated with a higher level of funds mobilization, economic growth and poverty alleviation. In countries with comparatively high uncertainty avoidance index, we observe that medium-size Islamic banks depict a positive relationship with funds mobilization and private credit allocation and also a negative link with headcount ratio based on national poverty line and poverty ratio in rural area.

We also investigate the relationship between the presence of Islamic banks and efficiency of conventional banks. We find that the market share of large Islamic banks is positively linked with efficiency of conventional banks in predominantly Muslim countries. However, in more religiously diverse Muslim countries, the market share of conventional banks with Islamic window/branches is positively linked to the efficiency of purely conventional banks.

Overall, the results show that the presence of Islamic banks can foster access to finance and financial deepening, and improve economic welfare particularly in Muslim countries with predominantly Muslim population, higher uncertainty avoidance index or comparatively low GDP per capita. The findings are in line with existing literature of finance and development. It also supports Rajan's (2006) claim that it is better to focus on financial development for the whole people rather than focusing on the poor.

## Appendices

Table A1. Variable Description

This table presents descriptions of variables used in this study.

Variables	Description	Source
Commercial Ban	k Structure	
Small ISB Share	Small Islamic banks' assets as a percentage of total assets of all commercial banks. Small Islamic banks are Islamic banks with total assets below \$100 million.	Bankscope and banks' websites.
Medium ISB Share	Medium Islamic banks' assets as a percentage of total assets of all commercial banks. Medium Islamic banks are Islamic banks with total assets above \$100 million and below \$1 billion.	Bankscope and banks websites.
Large ISB Share	Large Islamic banks' assets as a percentage of total assets of all commercial banks. Large Islamic banks are Islamic banks with total assets above \$1 billion.	Bankscope and banks websites.
Small ISW Share	Total assets of small commercial banks offering both Islamic and conventional products as a percentage of total assets of all commercial banks. Small commercial banks are banks with total assets below \$100 million.	Bankscope and banks websites.
Medium ISW Share	Total assets of medium-size commercial banks offering both Islamic and conventional products as a percentage of total assets of all commercial banks. Medium-size commercial banks are banks with total assets above \$100 million and below \$1 billion.	Bankscope and banks websites.
Large ISW Share	Total assets of large commercial banks offering both Islamic and conventional products as a percentage of total assets of all commercial banks. Large commercial banks are banks with total assets above \$1 billion.	Bankscope and banks websites.
Foreign Banks Share	Foreign-owned bank assets as a percentage of total assets of commercial banks.	Bankscope and banks websites.
State Banks Share	State-owned bank assets as a percentage of total assets of commercial banks.	Bankscope and banks websites.
	Hirschman-Herfindahl index (HHI) is a proxy for market concentration: $HHI_{c,t} = 100 \times$	D 1 11 1
HHI	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Total\_Assets_{i,t,c} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} Total\_Assets_{i,t,c})^2$ . It has a value between 0 and 100. Higher values indicate that the market is more concentrated.	Bankscope and banks websites.
Funding Mobiliza	ation and Allocation	
Bank Deposit	The ratio of commercial bank deposits to GDP.	The World Bank website.
Financial System Deposit	The ratio of financial system deposits to GDP.	The World Bank website.
Private Credit	The ratio of private credit to GDP.	The World Bank website.
Economic Growth	h, Income Inequality & Poverty Index	
Economic Growth	The annual growth rate of GDP per capita.	The World Bank website.
Gini	The Gini coefficient used as a measure of income inequality.	The World Bank website.
Poverty Ratio International	Percentage of population below the international poverty line defined as \$1.25 a day (PPP).	The World Bank website.
Poverty Ratio National	Percentage of population below the national poverty line.	The World Bank website.
Poverty Gap	The intensity of poverty at the international poverty line.	The World Bank website.
Poverty Ratio Rural	Percentage of rural population below the rural poverty line.	The World Bank website.
Other Country L	evel Heterogeneities	
Muslim Share	Proportion of Muslims in a country's population.	Pew Research Centre
Per Capita	GDP per capita (thousands \$), measured by PPP approach (constant 2005 international).	The World Bank website.
Uncertainty	Society's tolerance for uncertainty and ambiguity.	The website of Geert

Avoidance Index		and Gert Jan Hofstede
Economic Freedom Index	It measures the degree of freedom of a country.	the Heritage Foundation and the Wall Street Journal.
Inflation	The annual inflation rate measured by GDP deflator.	The World Bank website.
Remittance Inflow	Remittance inflow to GDP.	The World Bank website.
Domestic Interest Rate	Deposit interest rate provided by the World Bank website; for years and countries with missing observations, the data is obtained from the central bank websites.	The World Bank website and the websites of central banks.
Bank-Level Ana	lysis	
Inefficiency	The ratio of total noninterest expense to total operating revenue.	Bankscope
Size	The logarithm of total assets.	Bankscope
Capital	The ratio of equity capital to asset.	Bankscope
Credit Risk	The ratio of non-performing loans to gross loans.	Bankscope

Table A2. Commercial Banking Market Structure across Countries

This table presents the average Muslim Share. Change in Par Capita Growth. Number and average size of Islamia. Islamia Window and Conventional Panks for our 22 countries.

This table presents the average Muslim Share, Change in Per Capita Growth, Number and average size of Islamic, Islamic Window and Conventional Banks for our 22 countries of study.

Countries	Muslim Share	Change in Per Capita Growth	Number of Islamic Banks	Number of Islamic Window Banks	Number of Conventional Banks	Islamic Banks Average Size	Islamic Window Banks Average Size	Conventional Banks Average Size
Algeria	98.2	-0.07	2	0	8	370		4,520
Bahrain	81.2	-0.24	4	2	3	4,393	2,124	9,894
Bangladesh	90.4	0.20	4	7	15	695	1,081	1,575
Brunei	51.9	-0.19	2	0	1	2,838		856
Egypt	94.7	-0.35	2	5	17	3,696	4,024	3,750
Gambia	95.3	-0.90	0	0	3	12		62
Indonesia	88.1	0.47	3	6	45	891	3,752	3,732
Iraq	98.9	0.87	2	0	4	616		2,646
Jordan	98.8	-0.10	2	0	9	972		4,985
Kuwait	86.4	0.71	2	0	4	8,175	4,797	8,876
Lebanon	59.7	0.23	1	1	32	906	5,309	2,331
Malaysia	61.4	-0.02	9	8	11	4,784	8,083	6,426
Mauritania	99.2	-0.28	1	2	4	74	1,434	1,789
Pakistan	96.4	-0.01	2	8	7	1,955	3,747	1,333
Qatar	77.5	0.47	3	0	5	5,419	301	6,446
Saudi Arabia	97.1	0.75	3	6	1	12,200	15,100	14,600
Senegal	95.9	-0.38	1	0	9	498		888
Syria	92.8	0.01	1	0	6	931		12,100
Tunisia	99.8	-0.53	2	1	9	343	531	4,340
Turkey	98.6	1.02	2	0	23	4,270		13,600
UAE	76.0	0.03	7	2	14	4,974	8,431	7,120
Yemen	99.0	-1.49	3	0	4	777		702

TABLE A3. Correlation matrix

PANEL A.

This panel presents the pair-wise correlation among the independent variables used in our analysis.

	Small ISB Share	Medium ISB Share	Large ISB Share	Small ISW Share	Medium ISW Share	Large ISW Share	Foreign Banks Share	State Banks Share	Inflation	Per Capita	Remittance Inflow
Small ISB Share	1.00										
Medium ISB Share	0.22	1.00									
Large ISB Share	0.12	0.30	1.00								
Small ISW Share	-0.02	0.02	0.01	1.00							
Medium ISW Share	0.06	-0.07	0.20	0.23	1.00						
Large ISW Share	-0.04	-0.15	-0.07	0.34	0.34	1.00					
Foreign Banks Share	0.13	0.02	-0.15	-0.05	-0.18	-0.17	1.00				
State Banks Share	-0.02	0.09	0.15	-0.02	-0.01	0.03	-0.27	1.00			
Inflation Rate	0.02	-0.03	0.11	-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.16	0.10	1.00		
Per Capita	0.05	0.24	0.47	-0.14	-0.05	-0.10	-0.31	0.03	0.09	1.00	
Remittance Inflow	-0.11	-0.01	-0.16	-0.13	-0.21	-0.26	-0.02	-0.24	-0.20	-0.18	1.00

*PANEL B*.

This panel presents the pair-wise correlation among the indicators used for our sub-sampling.

	Muslim Share Above Median Countries	Per Capita Below Median Countries	Uncertainty Avoidance Index Above Median Countries	Economic Freedom Index Below Median Countries
Muslim Share - Above Median Countries	1.00			
Per Capita - Below Median Countries	0.28	1.00		
Uncertainty Avoidance Index - Above Median Countries	0.11	-0.36	1.00	
Economic Freedom Index - Below Median Countries	0.28	0.81	-0.36	1.00

### Table A4. Islamic Banking in High Inflation Countries

This table illustrates the estimation of the equation (1) for the sample of *High Inflation Countries*:

 $Y_{j,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{11} \times Small\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{12} \times Medium\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{13} \times Large\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{21} \times Small\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{22} \times Medium\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{23} \times Large\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{3} \times Foreign\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{4} \times State\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{5} \times Inflation_{j,t} + \alpha_{6} \times Per\_Capita_{j,t} + \alpha_{7} \times Remittance\_Inflow_{j,t} + \alpha_{8} \times Trend_{t} + \epsilon_{j,t}$ 

We split our sample into two groups on the basis of the median proportion of *Inflation*. Countries above the median value are classified in one group (*High Inflation Countries*). The median value is 7.09%.

We regress our dependent variables on our variables of interest (i.e., Small ISB Share, Medium ISB Share and Large ISB Share) and control variables. In columns (1) to (3), we study the relationship between our variables of interest and development of financial intermediation. Columns (4) to (9) present our analysis for economic growth, income inequality and poverty index.

	Fu	anding Mobilization and Allocat	ion			Economic Growth, Income Inequality and Poverty Index						
	Bank Deposit	Financial System Deposit	Private Credit	Economic Growth	Gini	Poverty Ratio International	Poverty Ratio National	Poverty Gap International				
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
Small ISB Share	-0.016	-0.016	-0.030	-0.028	-0.046	0.022	-0.016	-0.041***				
	(-0.72)	(-0.65)	(-0.82)	(-1.54)	(-0.94)	(1.26)	(-1.07)	(-4.13)				
Medium ISB Share	0.071	0.065	0.011	0.023	0.132	-0.139***	0.048	0.162				
	(1.60)	(1.17)	(0.14)	(1.00)	(0.94)	(-6.88)	(1.32)	(0.42)				
Large ISB Share	-0.008	-0.025	-0.039	-0.035**	0.099	0.035	0.097	-0.599				
	(-0.19)	(-0.75)	(-1.21)	(-2.49)	(0.05)	(0.91)	(0.17)	(-0.30)				
Small ISW Share	0.062	0.067	0.030	0.018	-0.083**	-0.035**	-0.029**	-0.190				
	(1.50)	(1.67)	(1.15)	(1.06)	(-3.07)	(-2.91)	(-2.93)	(-0.40)				
Medium ISW Share	0.107	0.127	0.071	0.045	0.464***	-0.331***	0.149***	-0.224				
	(1.50)	(1.68)	(0.67)	(1.65)	(7.51)	(-24.81)	(8.65)	(-0.51)				
Large ISW Share	0.045	0.053	0.186*	0.028	0.221***	0.016	0.056**	0.283				
	(0.43)	(0.46)	(1.85)	(0.97)	(4.26)	(1.04)	(3.13)	(0.46)				
Foreign Banks Share	-0.140	-0.151	-0.131	0.037	-0.221**	-0.079	-0.062***	-0.404***				
	(-1.70)	(-1.58)	(-1.23)	(1.03)	(-3.09)	(-1.04)	(-4.48)	(-4.09)				
State Banks Share	-0.261**	-0.272**	-0.187	-0.006	-0.337	0.256***	-0.110*	-0.049				
	(-2.98)	(-2.51)	(-1.28)	(-0.16)	(-1.63)	(5.34)	(-2.03)	(-0.31)				
Inflation	0.986**	1.046***	0.366	0.272*	1.991***	-3.075***	0.653***	-5.362**				
	(2.96)	(3.08)	(0.90)	(1.83)	(10.17)	(-13.76)	(8.40)	(-2.53)				
Per Capita	-1.038	-0.604	0.004	0.483**	-0.961	-3.111***	-0.231	-8.335*				
	(-0.97)	(-0.48)	(0.00)	(2.30)	(-0.50)	(-7.82)	(-0.44)	(-1.99)				
Remittance Inflow	-0.105	-0.137	0.675	0.037	-2.316***	0.014	-0.768***	1.784				
	(-0.15)	(-0.18)	(1.31)	(0.21)	(-4.32)	(0.05)	(-6.72)	(1.24)				
Constant	43.092***	42.294***	22.465*	-3.375	26.069	55.223***	6.373	89.010***				
	(3.64)	(3.45)	(2.08)	(-1.59)	(1.34)	(17.81)	(1.27)	(3.78)				
Observations	79	76	78	83	25	24	25	22				
R-squared	0.370	0.316	0.287	0.216	0.916	0.984	0.909	0.965				
Number of Country	14	14	14	15	9	7	9	7				

### Table A5. Islamic Banking - the Global Financial Crisis

This table illustrates the estimation of the equation (1) before and during the global financial crisis 2007-08:

 $Y_{j,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{11} \times Small\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{12} \times Medium\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{13} \times Large\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{21} \times Small\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{22} \times Medium\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{23} \times Large\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{3} \times Foreign\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{4} \times State\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{5} \times Inflation_{j,t} + \alpha_{6} \times Per\_Capita_{j,t} + \alpha_{7} \times Remittance\_Inflow_{j,t} + \alpha_{8} \times Trend_{t} + \epsilon_{j,t}$ 

We split our sample our sample of *Predominantly Muslim Countries* into two groups. The analysis of the period before the global financial crisis, i.e. 1999-2007, is presented in columns (1) to (4). Columns (5) to (8) present the study of the recent global recession, i.e. 2008-2011.

We regress our dependent variables on our variables of interest (i.e., *Small ISB Share*, *Medium ISB Share* and *Large ISB Share*) and control variables. In columns (1) to (3), we study the relationship between our variables of interest and development of financial intermediation (represented by Bank Deposit, Financial System Deposit and Private Credit). Column (4) illustrates the estimation for economic growth. Columns (5) to (8) present the analysis for the period of the global recession, i.e. 2008-2011, with the same specifications of columns (1) to (4).

		Before the Global Finance	ial Crisis (1999-20	007)		(5)         (6)         (7)           0.318***         0.309***         0.163**           (4.44)         (3.84)         (2.42)           -0.044*         -0.036         -0.026           (-2.08)         (-1.32)         (-0.67)           -0.361***         -0.361***         -0.167**           (-4.87)         (-4.46)         (-3.09)           -0.090         -0.083         -0.135***           (-1.49)         (-1.61)         (-3.48)           0.191         0.134         0.078           (1.46)         (1.59)         (0.49)           0.259**         -0.043         0.270**           (2.72)         (-0.09)         (3.00)           0.126*         0.116         0.171***           (2.18)         (1.81)         (6.38)           0.432***         0.402**         0.385**           (4.12)         (2.87)         (3.23)           -0.106         -0.093         -0.015           (-0.96)         (-0.71)         (-0.51)           -0.525         -0.391         -1.032**           (-1.80)         (-0.92)         (-2.45)           -0.816**         -0.808*         0.223			
	Bank Deposit	Financial System Deposit	Private Credit	Economic Growth	Bank Deposit	Financial System Deposit	Private Credit	Economic Growth	
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Small ISB Share	0.034 (0.66)	0.003 (0.08)	-0.026 (-1.11)	0.018 (0.76)	0.000		0.12.00	-0.026 (-0.47)	
Medium ISB Share	0.057*** (4.94)	0.055* (2.06)	0.036 (1.72)	0.038*** (3.80)				0.023 (0.42)	
Large ISB Share	0.025 (0.49)	-0.007 (-0.16)	-0.015 (-0.63)	0.025 (1.63)				-0.056 (-0.88)	
Small ISW Share	-0.032 (-1.79)	-0.032 (-1.51)	0.031* (2.08)	-0.006 (-0.67)				0.023 (0.48)	
Medium ISW Share	0.008 (0.14)	0.026 (0.45)	0.101** (2.81)	-0.067** (-3.18)				0.158 (0.65)	
Large ISW Share	-0.007 (-0.07)	-0.027 (-0.32)	-0.054 (-1.40)	-0.018 (-0.70)				-0.054 (-0.54)	
Foreign Banks Share	-0.047 (-0.72)	-0.053 (-0.70)	0.050 (1.30)	0.040 (1.32)				-0.049 (-0.63)	
State Banks Share	-0.056 (-0.92)	-0.095 (-1.20)	0.011 (0.28)	0.064* (1.84)				-0.058 (-0.43)	
Inflation	-0.051 (-0.68)	-0.071 (-0.84)	0.064 (1.02)	-0.003 (-0.05)				-0.027 (-0.30)	
Per Capita	-2.000** (-2.64)	-2.106* (-2.07)	3.793*** (3.94)	3.391** (2.84)				0.922 (1.20)	
Remittance Inflow	-0.261 (-0.69)	-0.195 (-0.38)	0.221 (1.12)	0.035 (0.26)	-0.816** (-2.32)	-0.808* (-2.22)	0.223 (0.22)	0.664 (1.13)	
Trend	1.544*** (4.47)	1.516*** (4.23)	0.370** (3.29)	-0.402** (-2.87)	0.939 (1.17)	0.908 (1.11)	1.459 (1.35)	-1.730 (-1.25)	
Constant	44.794***	48.327***	1.555	-16.412**	28.775***	31.331***	9.825	10.399	

	(8.23)	(6.53)	(0.27)	(-2.32)	(5.33)	(7.00)	(0.68)	(0.90)
Observations	71	68	68	73	37	36	39	40
R-squared	0.669	0.608	0.754	0.361	0.777	0.769	0.571	0.397
Number of Country	9	9	9	10	10	10	10	10

### References

- Abdelsalam, O., Fethi, M.D., Matallin, J.C. and Tortosa-Ausina, E. (2014) On the comparative performance of socially responsible and Islamic mutual funds, *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **103**, S108-S128.
- Abedifar, P., Ebrahim, M.S., Molyneux, P. and Tarazi, A. (2015) Islamic banking and finance: Recent empirical literature and directions for future research, *Journal of Economic Surveys* (Forthcoming).
- Abedifar, P., Molyneux, P. and Tarazi, A. (2013) Risk in Islamic banking, *Review of Finance* **17**, 2035-2096.
- Aggarwal, R.K. and Yousef, T. (2000) Islamic banks and investment financing, *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **32**, 93-120.
- Alessandri, P. and Drehmann, M. (2010) An economic capital model integrating credit and interest rate risk in the banking book, *Journal of Banking & Finance* **34**, 730-742.
- Armendariz de Aghion, B. and Morduch, J. (2005) The economics of microfinance, *Cambridge*, *MA: MIT Press*.
- Ayyagari, M., Demirguc-Kunt, A. and Maksimovic, M. (2008) How important are financing constraints? The role of finance in the business environment, *World Bank Economic Review* 22, 483-516.
- Baele, L., Farooq, M. and Ongena, S. (2014) Of religion and redemption: Evidence from default on Islamic loans, *Journal of Banking & Finance*44, 141-159.
- Beck T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A. and Levine, R. (2007) Finance, inequality and the poor, *Journal of Economic Growth* **12**, 27-49.
- Beck T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A. and Merrouche, O. (2013) Islamic vs. conventional banking: business model, efficiency and stability, *Journal of Banking & Finance* **37**, 433-447.
- Beck, T. and Levine, R. (2004) Stock markets, banks, and growth: Panel evidence, *Journal of Banking & Finance***28**, 423-442.
- Beck, T., Levine, R. and Loayza, N. (2000) Finance and the Source of Growth, *Journal of Financial Economics* **58**, 261-300.
- Berger, A.N. and De Young, R. (1997) Problem loans and cost efficiency in commercial banking, *Journal of Banking and Finance* **21**, 849–870.
- Berger, A.N., Hasan, I. and Klapper, L.F. (2004) Further evidence on the link between finance and growth: An international analysis of community banking and economic performance, *Journal of Financial Services Research* 25, 169-202.
- Berger, A.N., Herring, R.J. and Szegö, G.P. (1995) The role of capital in financial institutions, *Journal of Banking & Finance***19**, 257–276.
- Berger, A.N. and Udell, G. (2004) The institutional memory hypothesis and the procyclicality of bank lending behaviour, *Journal of Financial Intermediation***13**, 458–495.

- Borio, C. and Zhu, H. (2008) Capital regulation, risk-taking and monetary policy: A missing link in the transmission mechanism?, *Bank for International Settlements Working Paper No.* 268.
- Buffie, E.F. (1984) Financial repression, the new structuralists, and stabilization policy in semi-industrialized economies, *Journal of Development Economics* **14**, 305–322.
- Burgess, R. and Pande, R. (2005) Can rural banks reduce poverty? Evidence from the Indian social banking experiment, *American Economic Review***95**, 780-795.
- CarbóValverde, S. and Rodríguez Fernández, F. (2007) The determinants of bank margins in European banking, *Journal of Banking & Finance***31**, 2043-2063.
- Carling, K., Jacobson, T., Linde, J. and Roszbach, K. (2007) Corporate credit risk modeling and the macroeconomy, *Journal of Banking & Finance***31**, 845-868.
- C.G.A.P. (2008) Islamic microfinance: An emerging market niche, No. 49, August.
- Chandavarkar, A. (1992) Of finance and development: neglected and unsettled questions, *World Development* **20**, 133–142.
- Christopoulos, D.K. and Tsionas, E.G. (2004) Financial Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from Panel Unit Root and Cointegration Tests, *Journal of Development Economics* 73, 55-74.
- Chong, B.S. and Liu, M.H. (2009) Islamic banking: Interest-free or interest-based?, *Journal of Pacific-Basin Finance* **17**, 125-144.
- Čihák, M. and Hesse, H. (2010) Islamic banks and financial stability: An empirical analysis, *Journal of Financial Services Research* **38**, 95-113.
- Delis, M.D. and Kouretas, G.P. (2011) Interest rates and bank risk-taking, *Journal of Banking & Finance* **35**, 840-855.
- Dell'Ariccia, G. and Marquez, R. (2006) Lending booms and lending standards, *The Journal of Finance***61**, 2511–2546.
- Demsetz, H. (1973) Industry structure, market rivalry, and public policy, *Journal of Law and Economics* **16**, 1 -9.
- Drehmann, M., Sorensen, S. and Stringa, M. (2010) The integrated impact of credit and interest rate risk on banks: A dynamic framework and stress testing application, *Journal of Banking & Finance***34**, 735-751.
- Ebrahim, M.S. (2009) Can an Islamic model of housing finance cooperative elevate the economic status of the underprivileged?, *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 72, 864-883.
- Elnahass, M., Izzeldin, M and Abdelsalam, O. (2014) Loan loss provisions, bank valuations and discretion: A comparative study between conventional and Islamic banks, *Journal of Economic Behavior& Organization***103**, S160-S173.
- Ernst & Young (2013-2014) World Islamic Banking Competitiveness Report.

- Fiordelisi, F., Marques-Ibanez, D. and Molyneux, P. (2011) Efficiency and risk in European banking, *Journal of Banking & Finance***35**, 1315-1326.
- Frankfurt School of Finance and Management (Bankakademie International), (2006) Access to Finance Study in Algeria, Final Report. Frankfurt: Frankfurt School of Finance and Management, July.
- Fry, M.J. (1988) Money, Interest, and Banking in Economic Development, Baltimore, MD, and London: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Gheeraert, L. (2014) Does Islamic finance spur banking sector development? *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **103**, S4-S20.
- Gheeraert, L. and Weill, L. (2014) Does Islamic banking development favour macroeconomic efficiency? Evidence on the Islamic finance-growth nexus, *Laboratoire de RechercheenGestion&Economie Working Paper* 2014-04.
- Gonzalez, F. (2005) Bank regulation and risk taking incentives: An international comparison of bank risk, *Journal of Banking & Finance***29**, 1153-1184.
- Harris, M. and Raviv, A. (1990) Capital structure and the informational role of debt, *Journal of Finance***45**, 321-349.
- Hicks, J. (1935) Annual survey of economic theory: The theory of monopoly, *Econometrica*3, 1-20.
- Hilary, G. and Hui, K. W. (2009) Does religion matter in corporate decision making in America?, *Journal of Financial Economics***93**, 455-473.
- Hofstede, G.H. (2001) Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions, and Organizations across Nations, *SAGE Publications*, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Honohan, P. (2004) Financial Development, Growth and Poverty: How close are the links? In: C. Goodhart (Ed.), *Financial Development and Economic Growth: Explaining the links*, 1-37, London: Palgrave.
- Honohan, P. (2008) Cross-country variation in household access to financial services, *Journal of Banking & Finance***32**, 2493-2500.
- Hughes, J.P., Mester, L. and Moon, C. (2001) Are scale economies in banking elusive or illusive: Evidence obtained by incorporating capital structure and risk-taking into models of bank production, *Journal of Banking & Finance***25**, 2169–2208.
- Jarrow, R.A. and Turnbull, S.M. (2000) The intersection of market and credit risk, *Journal of Banking & Finance***24**, 271-299.
- Jensen, M. C. (1986) Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance and takeovers, *American Economic Review* **76**, 323–29.
- Jiménez, G., Lopez, J., and Saurina, J. 2013. How does competition affect bank risk taking? *Journal of Financial Stability*, **9**, 185-195.
- Jobst, A.A. (2007) The Economics of Islamic Finance and Securitization, *Journal of Structured Finance* **13**, 6-27.

- Johnes, J., Izzeldin, M. and Pappas, V. (2014) A comparison of performance of Islamic and conventional banks 2004-2009, *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **103**, S93-S107.
- Kane, E. (2010) Redefining and containing systemic risk, *AtlanticEconomic Journal* **38**, 251–264.
- Koetter, M. and Noth, F. (2013) IT use, productivity, and market power in banking, *Journal of Financial Stability***9**, 695-704.
- Kwan, S. and Eisenbeis, R. (1997) Bank risk, capitalization and operating efficiency, *Journal of Financial Services Research***12**, 117-31.
- Levine, R. (1997) Financial Development and Economic Growth: Views and Agenda, *Journal of Economic Literature***35**, 688-726.
- Levine, R. (2005) Finance and Growth: Theory and Evidence. In P. Aghion and S. Durlaff (Eds.), *Handbook of Economic Growth*, 866-934. The Netherlands: Elsevier Science.
- Levine, R., Loayza, N. and Beck, T. (2000) Financial Intermediation and Growth: Causality and Causes, *Journal of Monetary Economics***46**, 31-77.
- Maddaloni, A. and Peydró, J. (2011) Bank risk-taking, securitization, supervision, and low interest rates: Evidence from the Euro area and U.S. lending standards, *Review of Financial Studies***24**, 2121-65.
- Maudos, J. and De Guevara, J.F. (2004) Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union, *Journal of Banking & Finance* **28**, 2259-2281.
- McShane, R.W. and Sharpe, I.G. (1985) A time series/cross section analysis of the determinants of Australian trading bank loan/deposit interest margins: 1962–1981, *Journal of Banking & Finance***9**, 115–136.
- Merton, R.C. and Bodie, Z. (2004) The design of financial systems: towards a synthesis of function and structure, *Journal of Investment Management* **3**, 1-23.
- Miller, A. and Hoffmann, J. (1995) Risk and religion: An explanation of gender differences in religiosity, *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion***34**, 63–75.
- Ongena, S. and Şendeniz-Yüncü, İ. (2011) Which firms engage small, foreign, or state banks? And who goes Islamic? Evidence from Turkey, *Journal of Banking & Finance* **35**, 3213-3224.
- Osoba, B. (2003) Risk preferences and the practice of religion: Evidence from panel data, Unpublished Working Paper, West Virginia University.
- Pew Research Center (2009) Mapping the global Muslim population: a report on the size and distribution of the World's Muslim population, *The Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life*, October 2009.
- Rajan, R.G. (2006) Has finance made the world riskier?, European Financial Management 12, 499-533.

- Rioja, F. and Valev, N. (2004) Does one size fit all?: a reexamination of the finance and growth relationship, *Journal of Development Economics***74**, 429-447.
- Rousseau, P.L. and Sylla, R. (1999) Emerging Financial Markets and Early US Growth, *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper* No. 7448.
- Rousseau, P.L. and Wachtel, P. (2002) Inflation thresholds and the finance-growth nexus, *Journal of International Money and Finance***21**, 777-793.
- Saeed, M. and Izzeldin, M. (2014) Examining the relationship between default risk and efficiency in Islamic and conventional banks, *Journal of Economic Behavior& Organization*.
- Taylor, L. (1983) Structuralist Macroeconomics: Applicable Models for the Third World, New York: Basic Books.
- The Banker (2013) Islamic finance in 2013: Beyond the growth, March.
- vanWijnbergen, S. (1983) Interest rate management in LDCs. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **12**, 433–452.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

This table presents the descriptive statistics for 22 countries where commercial Islamic and conventional banks both operated for the 1999-2011 period. We split the sample into two parts on the basis of the median value of the proportion of Muslims in the population.

	Countri		uslim perce the media		opulations			with Musli s <i>above</i> th			
Variables	Obs	Mean	S.D.	Min	Max	Obs	Mean	S.D	Min	Max	T-Stat.†
Banking System Structure											
Small ISB Share	128	18.54	35.45	0.00	100.00	143	10.68	25.89	0.00	100.00	2.07**
Medium ISB Share	128	25.48	28.97	0.00	100.00	143	20.00	22.44	0.00	83.52	1.73*
Large ISB Share	128	21.13	26.95	0.00	100.00	143	8.20	19.72	0.00	100.00	4.46***
Small ISW Share	128	4.68	15.37	0.00	100.00	143	7.40	23.26	0.00	100.00	-1.15
Medium ISW Share	128	10.04	13.66	0.00	53.33	143	8.38	21.15	0.00	100.00	0.78
Large ISW Share	128	14.02	15.78	0.00	71.66	143	13.01	26.83	0.00	100.00	0.38
Foreign Banks Share	130	19.45	19.25	0.00	92.75	136	29.95	28.17	0.00	97.23	-3.56***
State Banks Share	130	17.23	24.72	0.00	100.00	136	16.16	23.84	0.00	98.41	0.36
нні	110	21.94	14.57	6.19	86.17	114	27.99	13.89	9.51	58.69	-3.18***
Funding Mobilization and Allocation											
Bank Deposit	99	64.88	27.86	25.07	139.38	130	35.19	22.17	6.98	105.91	8.71***
Financial System Deposit	87	64.60	25.55	25.07	120.93	121	36.96	22.17	8.92	105.91	8.13***
Private Credit	91	50.81	30.50	9.66	142.85	124	28.09	20.77	3.39	81.85	6.14***
Economic Growth, Income Inequality & Pover	rty Index										
Economic Growth	130	1.65	4.19	-11.99	14.18	136	1.81	3.58	-17.06	15.73	-0.34
Gini	16	35.50	5.22	28.99	46.21	31	37.91	4.59	29.63	47.28	-1.56
Poverty Ratio International	19	20.45	18.90	0.00	58.59	31	12.84	14.14	0.00	44.19	1.51
Poverty Ratio National	21	18.98	10.83	3.60	48.90	30	26.85	14.03	2.80	55.20	-2.26**
Poverty Gap International	19	5.00	5.47	0.00	18.61	31	3.37	4.20	0.00	14.34	1.12
Poverty Ratio Rural	21	23.24	10.88	7.10	52.30	24	38.28	17.00	6.80	73.90	-3.58***
Other Country Level Heterogeneities											
Muslim Share	130	78.22	13.48	51.90	94.70	136	97.88	1.46	95.3	99.8	-16.54***
Per Capita	130	28.05	28.06	0.93	133.73	136	6.67	7.47	1.51	49.23	8.41***
Uncertainty Avoidance Index	128	59.66	12.44	36.00	68.00	143	65.91	8.73	54.00	85.00	-4.74***
Economic Freedom Index	121	61.22	8.77	44.30	77.90	143	54.65	11.73	16.80	65.90	5.20***
Inflation	130	7.49	8.16	-24.25	29.02	136	8.99	11.23	-30.14	54.18	-1.25
Remittance Inflow	67	6.06	7.27	0.33	25.66	114	6.04	6.13	0.00	22.40	0.03
Conventional Banks Characteristics											
Total Assets (\$ m)	1917	4,344	8,980	6	83,000	1047	5,981	13,200	0.09	98,700	-3.59***
Inefficiency	1917	13.32	10.32	0.71	63.23	1047	14.22	11.60	0.71	63.23	-2.10**
Capital	1714	53.69	27.34	6.17	177.45	901	60.56	31.39	6.17	177.45	-5.56***
Credit Risk	1345	10.02	12.37	0.00	72.19	626	12.17	14.57	0.00	72.19	-3.19***

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ T-Stat. of mean equality test. \*\*\*, \*\*, and \* indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% respectively. See table A1 for variable definitions.

### Table 2. Islamic Banking in Predominantly Muslim Countries

This table illustrates the estimation of the equation (1) for the sample of *Predominantly Muslim Countries*:

```
Y_{j,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{11} \times Small\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{12} \times Medium\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{13} \times Large\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{21} \times Small\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{22} \times Medium\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{23} \times Large\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{3} \times Foreign\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{4} \times State\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{5} \times Inflation_{j,t} + \alpha_{6} \times Per\_Capita_{j,t} + \alpha_{7} \times Remittance\_Inflow_{j,t} + \alpha_{8} \times Trend_{t} + \epsilon_{j,t}
```

We split our sample into two groups on the basis of the median proportion of Muslims in a country's population. Countries above the median value are classified in one group (*PredominantlyMuslim Countries*). The median value is 95%.

We regress our dependent variables on our variable of interest (i.e., *Small ISB Share*, *MediumISB Share* and *Large ISB Share*) and control variables. In columns (1) to (3), we study the relationship between our variables of interest and development of financial intermediation. Columns (4) to (9) present our analysis for economic growth, income inequality and poverty index.

	Fur	nding Mobilization and Alloc	ation			Economic Growth, In	come Inequality and Pove	rty Index	
	Bank Deposit	Financial System Deposit	Private Credit	Economic Growth	Gini	Poverty Ratio International	Poverty Ratio National	Poverty Gap International	Poverty Ratio Rural
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Small ISB Share	0.009 (0.38)	-0.003 (-0.12)	-0.018 (-0.71)	-0.026 (-0.99)	2.606 (0.29)	-6.921 (-0.28)	69.527*** (12.80)	-4.377 (-0.58)	
Medium ISB Share	0.040**	0.040**	0.066**	0.016	-0.064**	-0.036	-0.131***	-0.012	-0.044***
	(2.56)	(2.65)	(2.42)	(0.55)	(-3.20)	(-0.42)	(-3.50)	(-0.44)	(-4.74)
Large ISB Share	0.032 (1.10)	0.004 (0.16)	0.024 (1.00)	-0.022 (-1.55)	-0.037 (-0.57)	0.057 (0.42)	-0.303*** (-5.13)	0.027 (0.68)	1.202** (3.09)
Small ISW Share	-0.005 (-0.28)	0.003 (0.20)	0.001 (0.06)	0.033* (2.14)	0.051*** (4.77)	-0.030 (-1.09)	-0.438*** (-13.00)	-0.006 (-0.75)	-0.670*** (-22.36)
Medium ISW Share	0.022	0.036	0.066	0.037	-0.017	-0.045	-0.975***	-0.010	-2.038***
	(0.64)	(0.97)	(0.74)	(1.06)	(-0.39)	(-0.39)	(-8.87)	(-0.29)	(-8.02)
Large ISW Share	0.169*** (3.97)	0.169*** (3.63)	0.138*** (3.34)	-0.030 (-1.27)	-0.064*** (-5.39)	0.158*** (10.62)	0.874*** (7.88)	0.029*** (6.26)	4.422*** (5.32)
Foreign Banks Share	-0.008	-0.012	0.030	-0.018	-0.023	-0.064	0.302**	-0.040	0.254***
	(-0.16)	(-0.19)	(0.63)	(-0.50)	(-0.27)	(-0.23)	(2.53)	(-0.47)	(26.39)
State Banks Share	-0.052	-0.076	-0.017	-0.040	0.038	0.027	0.265**	-0.001	0.025
	(-1.10)	(-1.21)	(-0.25)	(-1.18)	(0.38)	(0.20)	(3.19)	(-0.02)	(1.79)
Inflation	-0.161*	-0.164*	-0.166***	-0.042	0.020	-0.060	0.071**	-0.021	-0.201***
	(-2.10)	(-2.06)	(-3.36)	(-0.86)	(1.05)	(-1.22)	(2.51)	(-1.52)	(-84.73)
Per Capita	0.217	0.283	0.299	0.488**	-0.163	0.194	-3.356***	0.036	-5.865***
	(1.04)	(1.36)	(0.68)	(3.21)	(-0.63)	(1.01)	(-16.10)	(0.65)	(-189.59)
Remittance Inflow	0.357	0.506	0.664	0.141	0.440**	-0.007	-2.678***	-0.056	-3.237***
	(1.17)	(1.73)	(1.56)	(1.79)	(2.95)	(-0.01)	(-6.94)	(-0.27)	(-7.75)
Trend	1.016***	0.967***	0.765***	-0.276***	-0.295	-0.577***	0.250	-0.151***	0.718***
	(6.99)	(6.43)	(4.28)	(-4.88)	(-1.26)	(-3.82)	(1.30)	(-3.71)	(4.35)
Constant	28.011***	28.853***	15.228**	1.287	40.364***	15.382	47.887***	5.430	33.752**
	(8.61)	(7.82)	(2.38)	(0.54)	(11.62)	(1.12)	(8.10)	(1.30)	(2.70)
Observations	108	104	107	113	28	28	27	28	22
R-squared	0.635	0.619	0.578	0.182	0.845	0.752	0.987	0.732	0.984
Number of Country	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	7

### *Table 3.* Islamic Banking in Low Income Countries

This table illustrates the estimation of the equation (1) for the sample of Low Income Countries:

 $Y_{j,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{11} \times Small\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{12} \times Medium\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{13} \times Large\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{21} \times Small\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{22} \times Medium\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{23} \times Large\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{3} \times Foreign\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{4} \times State\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{5} \times Inflation_{j,t} + \alpha_{6} \times Per\_Capita_{j,t} + \alpha_{7} \times Remittance\_Inflow_{j,t} + \alpha_{8} \times Trend_{t} + \epsilon_{j,t}$ 

We split our sample into two groups on the basis of the median value of countries' Per Capita. Countries below the median value are classified in one group (called *Low Income Countries*). The median value is about \$ 6,300.

We regress our dependent variables on our variables of interest (i.e., *Small ISB Share*, *Medium ISB Share* and *Large ISB Share*) and control variables. In columns (1) to (3), we study the relationship between our variables of interest and development of financial intermediation. Columns (4) to (9) present our analysis for economic growth, income inequality and poverty index.

	Fun	ding Mobilization and Alloca	ation			Economic Growth, In	come Inequality and Pove	rty Index	
	Bank Deposit	Financial System Deposit	Private Credit	Economic Growth	Gini	Poverty Ratio International	Poverty Ratio National	Poverty Gap International	Poverty Ratio Rural
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Small ISB Share	-0.006	-0.007	0.036	-0.011	0.051	-0.069	-0.105	-0.033	-0.129
	(-0.25)	(-0.26)	(1.14)	(-0.52)	(1.39)	(-1.18)	(-1.13)	(-1.14)	(-1.16)
Medium ISB Share	0.073**	0.072*	0.095	0.042***	0.052	-0.177***	-0.096	-0.052**	-0.190*
	(2.69)	(2.14)	(1.76)	(3.38)	(0.94)	(-3.49)	(-1.73)	(-3.06)	(-2.20)
Large ISB Share	0.058	0.018	0.028	-0.026	-0.087	0.165	0.179	0.037	-0.171
	(1.43)	(0.57)	(1.79)	(-1.83)	(-0.69)	(1.40)	(1.40)	(1.31)	(-0.62)
Small ISW Share	0.017	0.028	0.053**	0.003	0.047*	0.038	0.021	0.013	-0.024
	(1.24)	(1.70)	(2.54)	(0.21)	(1.92)	(0.63)	(0.76)	(0.62)	(-0.47)
Medium ISW Share	0.107*	0.108	0.073	0.021	0.068	-0.008	0.052**	-0.001	-0.039
	(1.86)	(1.81)	(1.81)	(1.32)	(1.15)	(-0.16)	(2.37)	(-0.04)	(-0.55)
Large ISW Share	0.161**	0.167**	0.068	-0.019	-0.090	0.127**	0.144	0.015	-0.239
	(2.94)	(2.91)	(1.71)	(-0.83)	(-1.65)	(2.36)	(1.63)	(0.70)	(-0.92)
Foreign Banks Share	-0.127	-0.137	-0.106	0.024	0.050	-0.185	-0.082	-0.045	-0.075
	(-1.64)	(-1.69)	(-1.61)	(0.50)	(0.33)	(-1.50)	(-0.96)	(-1.29)	(-0.90)
State Banks Share	-0.242**	-0.263**	-0.052	-0.006	0.090	-0.099	0.036	-0.013	-0.014
	(-2.93)	(-2.91)	(-1.32)	(-0.26)	(1.21)	(-0.81)	(1.00)	(-0.30)	(-0.18)
Inflation	-0.180**	-0.174	-0.075	0.035	-0.338*	0.546*	0.232	0.176*	0.082
	(-2.50)	(-1.53)	(-1.20)	(1.65)	(-1.95)	(1.83)	(0.86)	(1.97)	(0.36)
Remittance Inflow	0.104	0.219	0.551	0.194	-0.045	0.687	0.413	0.146	0.994
	(0.29)	(0.66)	(1.83)	(1.35)	(-0.10)	(1.11)	(0.48)	(0.86)	(1.37)
Trend	0.588	0.521	0.180	-0.130	0.070	-1.091***	-0.265	-0.363***	-0.492
	(1.53)	(1.38)	(0.48)	(-1.24)	(0.89)	(-3.98)	(-0.63)	(-3.28)	(-0.96)
Constant	44.448***	46.199***	24.781***	0.408	34.169***	27.361***	22.618***	7.592***	42.316***
	(7.00)	(7.16)	(4.77)	(0.15)	(6.86)	(5.19)	(4.24)	(5.13)	(4.01)
Observations R-squared Number of Country	105 0.435 10	101 0.413 10	104 0.309 10	110 0.168 10	28 0.546 10	32 0.761 10	32 0.737	32 0.750 10	30 0.642 9

### Table 4. Islamic Banking in High Uncertainty Avoidance Countries

This table illustrates the estimation of the equation (1) for the sample of *High Uncertainty Avoidance Countries*:

 $Y_{j,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{11} \times Small\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{12} \times Medium\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{13} \times Large\_ISB\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{21} \times Small\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{22} \times Medium\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{23} \times Large\_ISW\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{3} \times Foreign\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{4} \times State\_Banks\_Share_{j,t} + \alpha_{5} \times Inflation_{j,t} + \alpha_{6} \times Per\_Capita_{j,t} + \alpha_{7} \times Remittance\_Inflow_{j,t} + \alpha_{8} \times Trend_{t} + \epsilon_{j,t}$ 

We split our sample into two groups on the basis of the median value of countries' uncertainty avoidance index. Countries below the median value are classified in one group (called *High Uncertainty Avoidance Countries*). The median value is 68.

We regress our dependent variables on our variable of interest (i.e., Small ISB Share, Medium ISB Share and Large ISB Share) and control variables. In columns (1) to (3), we study the relationship between our variables of interest and development of financial intermediation. Columns (4) to (9) present our analysis for economic growth, income inequality and poverty index.

	Fur	nding Mobilization and Alloc	ation			Economic Growth, Ir	come Inequality and Pove	rty Index	
	Bank Deposit	Financial System Deposit	Private Credit	Economic Growth	Gini	Poverty Ratio International	Poverty Ratio National	Poverty Gap International	Poverty Ratio Rural
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Small ISB Share	-0.019 (-0.99)	-0.029 (-1.50)	0.009 (0.42)	-0.025 (-1.27)	1.943 (0.18)	10.599 (0.38)	57.416 (1.20)	1.524 (0.21)	
Medium ISB Share	0.072*	0.079*	0.097*	0.017	-0.032	-0.036	-0.381**	-0.010	-0.623***
	(2.04)	(1.96)	(2.14)	(0.61)	(-1.56)	(-0.73)	(-3.62)	(-0.76)	(-11.35)
Large ISB Share	0.042	0.007	0.008	-0.017	-0.017	-0.043	-0.425	-0.006	-0.531**
	(1.47)	(0.32)	(0.34)	(-0.86)	(-0.21)	(-0.23)	(-1.46)	(-0.12)	(-3.83)
Small ISW Share	0.000	0.009	0.031	0.014	0.050***	-0.037	-0.145**	-0.011	-0.333***
	(0.01)	(0.68)	(1.12)	(0.93)	(4.88)	(-0.89)	(-3.03)	(-1.14)	(-9.18)
Medium ISW Share	0.070	0.077*	0.013	0.028	-0.003	0.116***	-0.049	0.034***	-0.462***
	(1.79)	(2.01)	(0.18)	(1.20)	(-0.23)	(5.20)	(-0.63)	(5.39)	(-16.41)
Large ISW Share	0.196***	0.209***	0.131*	-0.016	-0.053	0.148***	0.196*	0.032***	-0.183
	(4.25)	(4.05)	(1.86)	(-0.75)	(-1.82)	(5.16)	(2.20)	(8.29)	(-1.29)
Foreign Banks Share	-0.017	-0.033	-0.025	-0.029	-0.048	0.214	0.785	0.046	-0.096**
	(-0.27)	(-0.40)	(-0.26)	(-0.93)	(-0.37)	(0.67)	(1.47)	(0.54)	(-2.59)
State Banks Share	-0.119	-0.155	-0.035	-0.053*	-0.017	0.166	0.595	0.040	0.360***
	(-1.23)	(-1.31)	(-0.32)	(-2.12)	(-0.15)	(0.74)	(1.62)	(0.67)	(11.63)
Inflation	-0.165*	-0.171*	-0.220**	-0.034	0.018	-0.016	0.224*	-0.009	0.199***
	(-2.17)	(-2.19)	(-2.90)	(-0.69)	(1.27)	(-0.51)	(2.18)	(-0.89)	(5.07)
Per Capita	0.347	0.399	0.230	0.415***	0.132	-0.418	-4.992***	-0.132	-11.036***
	(1.18)	(1.35)	(0.66)	(3.84)	(1.28)	(-1.08)	(-6.44)	(-1.51)	(-22.55)
Remittance Inflow	0.518 (1.09)	0.685 (1.43)	0.093 (0.09)	0.230* (1.91)	0.423** (2.60)	0.441 (0.60)	0.130 (0.12)	0.084 (0.42)	-3.836*** (-13.64)
Trend	0.931**	0.852*	0.324	-0.182**	-0.508***	0.044	2.157**	0.023	7.684***
	(2.73)	(2.16)	(0.52)	(-2.96)	(-3.88)	(0.19)	(2.64)	(0.47)	(13.82)
Constant	33.229***	35.501***	28.216*	0.634	39.175***	-2.601	18.989	-0.411	94.240***
	(5.95)	(5.07)	(1.93)	(0.22)	(8.89)	(-0.24)	(0.82)	(-0.14)	(23.99)
Observations R-squared Number of Country	104 0.557 11	100 0.516 11	106 0.382 12	119 0.161 12	28 0.854 8	28 0.833 8	27 0.871	28 0.833 8	22 0.963 6

### Table 5. Cost-Inefficiency Analysis

This table presents the estimation of the Equation (2), using the ratio of total noninterest expense on total operating income (*Inefficiency*) as the proxy for inefficiency:

```
\begin{split} \text{Inefficiency}_{i,t} &= \beta_0 + \ \beta_{11} \times \text{Small\_ISB\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{12} \times \text{Medium\_ISB\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{13} \times \text{Large\_ISB\_Share}_{j,t} + \\ & \beta_{21} \times \text{Small\_ISW\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{22} \times \text{Medium\_ISW\_Share}_{j,t} + \beta_{23} \times \text{Large\_ISW\_Share}_{j,t} + \\ & \beta_{3} \times \text{HHI}_{j,t} + \beta_{4} \times \text{Domestic\_Interest\_Rate}_{j,t} + \beta_{5} \times \text{Per\_Capita}_{j,t} + \beta_{6} \times \text{Per\_Capita\_Growth}_{j,t} + \\ & \beta_{7} \times \text{Capital}_{i,t} + \beta_{8} \times \text{Size}_{i,t} + \beta_{9} \times \text{Credit Risk}_{i,t} + \sum_{y=1}^{12} \beta_{10,y} \times \text{Year\_Dummies}_{t,y} + \xi_{i,t} \end{split}
```

We split our sample into two groups on the basis of the median proportion of Muslims in a country's population. Countries below the median value are classified in one group (called *More Religiously Diverse Countries*) and the rest are in the other group (called *Predominantly Muslim Countries*). The median value is 95%. The results for *More Religiously Diverse Countries* are presented in columns (1) to (4), whereas columns (5) to (8) display our analysis for *Predominantly Muslim Countries*.

We regress *Inefficiency* on our variable of interest (i.e., *Small ISB Share, Medium ISB Share* and *Large ISB Share*) and control variables. In the first column we regress *Inefficiency* on *Small ISB Share, Medium ISB Share* and *Large ISB Share* while controlling for *Small ISW Share, Medium ISW Share* and *Large ISW Share*. In column (2), we include *HHI, Domestic Interest Rate, Per Capita,* and *Per Capita Growth* in our model. Column (3) illustrates the result when we add *Capital, Size* and *Credit Risk* to our model. In column (4) we add year dummies. Columns (5) to (8) depict the result when we use the *Predominantly Muslim Countries* subsample. Year dummies are included but not reported in the table.

	More Religiously Diverse Countries				Predominantly Muslim Countries			
Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Small ISB Share	-0.034** (-2.00)	-0.045** (-2.38)	-0.035 (-1.48)	-0.037 (-1.42)	-0.000 (-0.00)	-0.010 (-0.23)	-0.007 (-0.13)	0.026 (0.39)
Medium ISB Share	-0.004 (-0.14)	-0.025 (-0.87)	-0.032 (-0.91)	-0.035 (-0.94)	-0.110** (-2.27)	-0.093* (-1.68)	-0.101* (-1.81)	0.012 (0.17)
Large ISB Share	-0.068* (-1.73)	-0.054 (-0.95)	-0.008 (-0.11)	0.029 (0.41)	-0.125* (-1.85)	-0.083 (-1.16)	-0.334** (-2.23)	-0.367** (-2.40)
Small ISW Share	0.040 (1.43)	0.023 (0.84)	0.014 (0.26)	-0.001 (-0.02)	0.049 (0.45)	0.034 (0.32)	-0.074 (-0.50)	-0.089 (-0.60)
Medium ISW Share	-0.036 (-0.85)	-0.101** (-2.10)	-0.161** (-2.54)	-0.145** (-2.21)	0.168 (1.54)	0.181 (1.45)	-0.031 (-0.17)	-0.172 (-0.85)
Large ISW Share	-0.057 (-1.09)	-0.137*** (-2.67)	-0.168*** (-2.87)	-0.137** (-2.15)	0.125 (0.41)	0.157 (0.50)	-0.090 (-0.65)	-0.001 (-0.01)
ННІ		-0.030 (-0.37)	-0.059 (-0.51)	-0.047 (-0.38)		-0.212 (-1.09)	0.303 (1.64)	0.287 (1.24)
Domestic Interest Rate		0.126 (0.55)	0.287 (0.97)	0.083 (0.17)		0.444*** (3.13)	0.472*** (2.76)	0.333 (1.63)
Per Capita		-0.162*** (-3.32)	-0.139** (-2.38)	-0.121* (-1.78)		-0.386 (-0.78)	0.880* (1.68)	0.635 (0.36)
Per Capita Growth		-0.219* (-1.68)	-0.146 (-1.12)	-0.149 (-0.99)		0.173 (0.61)	0.232 (0.64)	0.034 (0.09)
Capital			-0.053 (-0.38)	-0.026 (-0.17)			-0.259* (-1.92)	-0.172 (-1.24)
Size			-0.719 (-0.82)	-0.323 (-0.35)			-1.267 (-0.75)	-0.434 (-0.27)
Credit Risk			0.129 (1.31)	0.130 (1.32)			0.492*** (3.18)	0.384** (2.59)
Constant	56.8*** (46.45)	61.1*** (21.01)	69.6*** (5.24)	66.3*** (4.65)	61.3*** (23.28)	62.0*** (9.73)	59.3* (1.97)	51.7 (1.13)
Year Dummies	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Observations R-squared	1,714 0.007	1,677 0.014	1,179 0.030	1,179 0.041	901 0.019	855 0.074	506 0.187	506 0.246
Number of Banks	248	247	219	219	145	135	100	100



# The Centre for Responsible Banking and Finance

### **RBF Working Paper Series**

School of Management, University of St Andrews The Gateway, North Haugh, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9RJ.



Scotland, United Kingdom <a href="http://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/business/rbf/">http://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/business/rbf/</a>

### Recent RBF Working papers published in this Series

### Fourth Quarter | 2015

15-012 **John Forker, Barry Reilly and Anne Marie Ward:** Does Board Gender Leadership Matter in Participatory Community Based Organisations?

15-011 **Neil Lee and Ross Brown:** Thin Markets and Innovative SMEs: the Demand and Supply of Bank Funding in UK Peripheral Regions.

15-010 **Rym Ayadi, Sami Ben Naceur, Barbara Casu and Barry Quinn:** Regulatory Compliance and Bank Performance.

### Third Quarter | 2015

15-009 Ross Brown, Suzanne Mawson, Alexander Rowe and Colin Mason: Harnessing the Crowd: The Demand-Side Dynamics of Equity Crowdfunding in Nascent Entrepreneurial Ventures.

15-008 **Pejman Abedifar, Shahid Ebrahim, Philip Molyneux and Amine Tarazi:** Islamic Banking and Finance: Recent Empirical Literature and Directions for Future Research.

15-007 **Declan French and Donal McKillop:** The Impact of Household Overindebtedness on Physical and Mental Health.

15-006 **Ross Brown and Suzanne Mawson:** Targeted Support for High Growth Firms: Theoretical Constraints, Unintended Consequences and Future Policy Challenges.

### Second Quarter | 2015

15-005 **John K. Ashton and Robert S. Hudson:** The Price, Quality and Distribution of Mortgage Payment Protection Insurance: A Hedonic Pricing Approach.

